

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

| | |
|---|---|
| Family Name | Dewhirst |
| Given Name | Lorraine |
| Person ID | 1286445 |
| Title | Stakeholder Submission |
| Type | Web |
| Family Name | Dewhirst |
| Given Name | Lorraine |
| Person ID | 1286445 |
| Title | JPA 19: Bamford / Norden |
| Type | Web |
| Soundness - Positively prepared? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Justified? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Consistent with national policy? | Unsound |
| Soundness - Effective? | Unsound |
| Compliance - Legally compliant? | Yes |
| Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate? | Yes |
| Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible. | Green Belt land should be just that, Green Belt, I thought Green Belt land was supposed to be protected. We need to preserve what Green Belt we have for future generations and wildlife to enjoy. Why not build on brownfield sites instead? there"s enough of them about. Building 450 houses on this land would contribute to flooding and air pollution in the area. Most households now have at least two vehicles and this would no doubt cause chaos on the already busy roads in and around the area. |